ABSTRACT

The genetic neuropathies are a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group of diseases of which the most common types are Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (CMT), the hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathies and the distal hereditary motor neuropathies. More than 30 causative genes have been described, making an accurate genetic diagnosis increasingly possible. Although no specific therapies are yet available, research into their pathogenesis has revolutionised our understanding of the peripheral nervous system and allowed the development of rational approaches to therapy. The first therapeutic trials in CMT are currently underway. This review will suggest an approach to the diagnosis of these disorders and provide an update on new therapies.

Diagnosis and new treatments in genetic neuropathies
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Classification of the genetic neuropathies

Neuropathies in which the neuropathy is the sole or primary part of the disorder
- Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (CMT)
- Hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP)
- Hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathies
- Hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies (HMSN)
- Distal hereditary motor neuropathies (dHMN)
- Hereditary neuralgic amyotrophy (HNA)

Neuropathies in which the neuropathy is part of a more widespread neurological or multisystem disorder
- Familial amyloid polyneuropathy
- Disturbances of lipid metabolism
- Porphyrinas
- Disorders with defective DNA
- Neuropathies associated with mitochondrial diseases
- Neuropathies associated with hereditary ataxias
- Miscellaneous

The genetic neuropathies are a clinically and genetically heterogeneous group in which an accurate genetic diagnosis is increasingly possible. Research into their pathogenesis has revolutionised our understanding of the peripheral nervous system and allowed the development of rational approaches to therapy.

The identification of more than 30 causative genes for inherited neuropathies has raised important questions as to how to approach their diagnosis; the move towards developing gene specific therapies will make accurate genetic diagnoses even more important.1

The genetic neuropathies can broadly be classified into two groups; those in which the neuropathy is the sole or primary part of the disorder (eg, Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease, CMT) and those in which the neuropathy is part of a more generalised neurological or multisystem disorder (see box). Although there have been major advances in both the diagnosis and the treatment of the latter group (eg, liver transplantation for familial amyloid polyneuropathy, this review will concentrate on CMT.

DIAGNOSIS OF GENETIC NEUROPATHIES

Although more than 30 causative genes have been identified, only about 10 are routinely available for diagnostic purposes. In many countries genetic testing is expensive and the specific genetic tests requested must be selected carefully. Accurate diagnosis and appropriate genetic testing are based on the careful evaluation of the clinical phenotype (mainly encompassing history, examination, neurophysiology and in selected cases a nerve biopsy) and a detailed family tree.2 Many algorithms have been developed to help the busy clinician choose the appropriate genetic test based on the phenotype and family history.3

Classification

CMT is separable into autosomal dominantly (AD) inherited demyelinating (CMT1) or axonal (CMT2) neuropathies, which are also historically classified as hereditary motor and sensory neuropathies (HMSN) I and II.3,4 Severely affected infants were classified as having congenital hypomyelinating neuropathies (CHN) or Dejerine Sottas neuropathy (DSN) which were thought to be autosomal recessive (AR) disorders and labelled CMT3 or HMSNIII. Since many of these patients actually have de novo AD neuropathies, we now use CHN or DSN to indicate severely affected infants, ignore CMT3, and use CMT4 to characterise AR neuropathies. Table 1 is a summary of the currently known main CMT genes (space constraints do not allow all loci to be included). Hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy (HSAN) describes forms of inherited neuropathies characterised by primary sensory or autonomic abnormalities (table 2) and distal hereditary motor neuropathies (dHMN) describe purely motor forms (table 3). Subtypes (CMT1A, CMT2A, etc) are used to characterise specific genetic causes of each of the larger categories. This system is not perfect. For example, HSAN1 and CMT2B are clinically identical despite being caused by mutations in different genes, and certain genes for dHMN also cause axonal CMT (glycyl tRNA synthetase (GARS), heat shock protein (HSP)27, HSP22).
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Is the neuropathy genetic (CMT/HNPP/HSAN/dHMN)?

The first step is to determine whether the patient has a genetic neuropathy. Sometimes the answer is clear as in the case where there is also an affected parent, making either AD or X linked (if there is no definite male to male transmission) inheritance probable, or when there are affected siblings from a consanguineous marriage making AR inheritance likely. In other patients, recognising CMT can be more difficult. There may be no family history or families may be small and extensive family histories not available. Factors that help the clinician decide whether the

Table 1  Classification of Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Gene/locus</th>
<th>Specific phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal dominant CMT1 (AD CMT1)</td>
<td>CMT1A</td>
<td>+/− early onset severe disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1B</td>
<td>Classic CMT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1C</td>
<td>Classic CMT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1D</td>
<td>Classic CMT1/DSN/CHN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1</td>
<td>NEFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP)</td>
<td>HNPP</td>
<td>Del 17p (PMP-22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT 1X</td>
<td>GJB1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autosomal recessive demyelinating (CMT4)</td>
<td>CMT4A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4B1</td>
<td>MTMR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4B2</td>
<td>MTMR13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4C</td>
<td>KIAA1895 (SH3TC2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4D (HMSNL)</td>
<td>NDRG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4E</td>
<td>EGR2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4F</td>
<td>PRX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4H</td>
<td>FGD4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT4J</td>
<td>FIG4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCFDN</td>
<td>CTDP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HMSN Russe</td>
<td>10q22–q23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1</td>
<td>PMP22 (point mutation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT1</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal dominant CMT2 (AD CMT 2)</td>
<td>CMT2A</td>
<td>KIF1Bj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2A</td>
<td>MFN 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2B</td>
<td>RAB7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2C</td>
<td>12q23–q24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2D</td>
<td>GARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2E</td>
<td>NEFL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2F</td>
<td>HSP27 (HSPB1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2G</td>
<td>12q12–q13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2L</td>
<td>HSP22 (HSPB8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2</td>
<td>MPZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CMT2 (HMSNP)</td>
<td>3q13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosomal recessive CMT 2 (also called CMT4)</td>
<td>AR CMT2A</td>
<td>LMNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR CMT2B</td>
<td>19q13.1–13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AR CMT2</td>
<td>GDAP1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant intermediate CMT (DI-CMT)</td>
<td>DI-CMTA</td>
<td>10q24.1–25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DI-CMTB</td>
<td>DNMT2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DI-CMTC</td>
<td>YARS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary neuralgic amyotrophy (HNA)</td>
<td>HNA</td>
<td>SEPT9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; CHN, congenital hypomyelinating neuropathy; CMT, Charcot–Marie–Tooth; CTD1, CTD phosphatase subunit 1; Del, deletion; DMN2,dynamin 2; DSN, Dejerine Sottas neuropathy; Dup, duplication; EGR2, early growth response 2; FGD4, FYVE, RhoGEF and PH domain containing 4; FIG4, FIG4 homologue; GARS, glycol tRNA synthetase; GDAP1, ganglioside induced differentiation associated protein 1; GJB1, gap junction protein beta1; HNPP, hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies; HSP22, heat shock 22 kDa protein 5; HSP27, heat shock 27 kDa protein 1; KIF1Bj, kinesin family member 1B-j; LITAF, lipopolysaccharide induced tumour necrosis factor; LMNA, lamin A/C; MCV, motor conduction velocity ; MFN2, mitofusin 2; MPZ, myelin protein zero; MTMR2, myotubularin related protein 2; MTMR13, myotubularin related protein 13; NDRG1, N-myc downstream regulated gene 1; NEFL, neurofilament, light polypeptide 68 kDa; PMP22, peripheral myelin protein 22; PRX, periaxin; RAB7, RAB7, member RAS oncogene family; SEPT9, septin 9; SH3TC2, SH3 domain and tetratricopeptide repeats 2; YARS, tyrosyl tRNA synthetase.
neuropathy is genetic include presentations in infancy, long, slow progression, the presence of foot deformities and, in adults, lack of positive sensory symptoms (dysaesthesias, paresthesias) in the presence of clear sensory signs.

### CMT

CMT is common with a prevalence of 1:2500⁹ and is usually classified as either demyelinating if the median (or ulnar) nerve motor conduction velocity (MCV) is less than 38 m/s or axonal if the median MCV is above 38 m/s (table 1). MCVs in upper extremities are usually uniformly slow in demyelinating CMT whereas there is often patchy asymmetric slowing in acquired demyelinating neuropathies (acute or chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy).⁶ ⁷ Intermediate forms of CMT with median or ulnar MCVs between 25 and 45 m/s are also present and may help direct genetic diagnosis (see below). Sporadic CMT patients occur often and are usually found to have mutations in the common AD genes (de novo mutations) or in the AR genes. In most UK/north European and US populations, approximately 90% of cases of CMT are either AD or X linked whereas in countries with a higher rate of consanguineous marriages, AR CMT accounts for about 40%.⁸ The diagnostic approach will therefore vary in specific countries and specific ethnic groups. CMT1 is consistently reported to be more common than CMT2 but as 75% of the genes have yet to be identified for CMT2, the true prevalence of CMT2 is unknown.

**CMT1; autosomal dominant demyelinating neuropathies**

This is the most common form of CMT in most populations. Patients usually present with a “classical CMT phenotype”⁷ that includes lower limb motor symptoms (difficulty walking/foot deformity) beginning in the first two decades accompanied by distal weakness, atrophy, sensory loss, hyporeflexia and foot deformity. Patients have normal lifespans, frequently need ankle–foot orthotics and rarely require wheelchairs for routine ambulation. Median and ulnar MCVs are below 38 m/s and the sensory action potentials are either reduced or absent. Nerve biopsy demonstrates demyelination and onion bulb formation. However, biopsies are not necessary to make the diagnosis. Rational approaches to genetic diagnosis require careful clinical examination, neurophysiology and an appreciation of

### Table 2: Classification of the hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Gene/locus</th>
<th>Specific phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSAN I</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>SPTLC1</td>
<td>Mainly sensory, sensory complications, motor involvement variable, males may be more severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMT2B</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>RAB7</td>
<td>Sensorimotor, sensory complications, no pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN 1B</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>3p22–p24</td>
<td>Sensory, cough, gastro-oesophageal reflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN II</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>HSN2</td>
<td>Severe sensory complications, mutilations, onset first 2 decades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN III</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>IKBAP</td>
<td>Familial dysautonomia or Riley-Day syndrome, prominent autonomic, absence fungiform papillae of the tongue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN IV</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>NTRK1</td>
<td>Congenital insensitivity to pain with anhidrosis(CIPA), severe sensory, anhidrosis, mental retardation, unmyelinated fibres mainly affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN V</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>NTRK1</td>
<td>Congenital insensitivity to pain with mild anhidrosis, no mental retardation, small myelinated fibres mainly affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSAN V</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>NGFB</td>
<td>Congenital insensitivity to pain, minimal autonomic, no mental retardation, mainly unmyelinated fibres affected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Channelopathy associated insensitivity to pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Gene/locus</th>
<th>Specific phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCN9A</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td></td>
<td>Congenital insensitivity to pain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Classification of the distal hereditary motor neuropathies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Inheritance</th>
<th>Gene/locus</th>
<th>Specific phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HMN I</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Juvenile onset dHMN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN II</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>HS22(HSPB1)</td>
<td>Adult onset typical dHMN/CMT2F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN III</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>HS22(HSPB8)</td>
<td>Adult onset typical dHMN/CMT2L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN IV</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>11q13</td>
<td>Early onset, slowly progressive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN V</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>GARS</td>
<td>Upper limb onset, slowly progressive/CMT2D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN VI</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>IGHMBP2</td>
<td>Spinal muscle atrophy with respiratory distress (SMARD1), infantile onset respiratory distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN VIIA</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>2q14</td>
<td>Adult onset, vocal cord paralysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN VIIB</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>DCTN1</td>
<td>Adult onset/vocal cord paralysis/facial weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN/ALS4</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>SETX</td>
<td>Early onset, pyramidal signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMN-J</td>
<td>AR</td>
<td>9p21.1–p12</td>
<td>Juvenile onset, pyramidal features, Jerash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital distal SMA</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>12q23–12q24</td>
<td>Antenatal onset, arthrogryposis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; CMT, Charcot–Marie–Tooth; dHMN, distal hereditary motor neuropathy; DCTN1, dynactin1; HSP22, heat shock 22 kDa protein; HSP27, heat shock 27 kDa protein 1; GARS, glycyl tRNA synthetase; IGHMBP2, immunoglobulin mu binding protein 2; SETX, sentaxin; SMA, spinal muscular atrophy.
the frequency with which a particular gene causes CMT1 (table 1, fig 1). Classic phenotypes and MCV around 20 m/s are strongly suggestive of CMT1A, caused by a 1.4 Mb duplication on 17p11.2.10 11 Sporadic cases occur in approximately 10% of CMT1A cases. Thus the lack of family history does not exclude CMT1A. In European populations, CMT1A accounts for 70% of all CMT1 cases.11 Mutations in the PMP22 gene can also cause CMT1 but with a wide spectrum of phenotypes, including DS, CMT1B15 although some patients have MCVs in the demyelinating range16 but the gene is expressed in neurons but not Schwann cells.

Figure 1 Algorithm for molecular diagnosis of autosomal dominant (AD) and X linked demyelinating Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (CMT1). EGR2, early growth response 2; GJB1, gap junction protein beta 1; LITAF, lipopolysaccharide induced tumour necrosis factor; MPZ, myelin protein zero; NEFL, neurofilament, light polypeptide 68 kDa; PMP22, peripheral myelin protein 22.

CMT1B, caused by a mutation in myelin protein zero (MPZ), comprises about 10% of CMT1. Patients can present with the classical CMT1 phenotype but are more likely to have either a more severe early onset form of CMT with MCV <10 m/s or a late onset form of CMT, with median MCVs in the axonal range.12 EGR2 and SIMPLE mutations are rare (<1%) causes of CMT1.13 14 EGR2 patients usually present with DS and SIMPLE patients frequently resemble those with CMT1A. Mutations in NEFL were originally described as a cause of CMT2,15 although some patients have MCVs in the demyelinating range16 but the gene is expressed in neurons but not Schwann cells.

Figure 2 Algorithm for molecular diagnosis of autosomal dominant (AD) axonal Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (CMT2). BSCL2, Berardinelli-Seip congenital lipodystrophy 2 (Seipin); GARS, glycyl tRNA synthetase; HSP22, heat shock 22 kDa protein 1; HSP27, heat shock 27 kDa protein 1; MFN2, mitofusin 2; MPZ, myelin protein zero; NEFL, neurofilament, light polypeptide 68 kDa; RAB7, RAB8, member RAS oncogene family; SPLTC1, serine palmitoyltransferase, long chain base subunit-1.

Hereditary neuropathy with liability to pressure palsies (HNPP)

HNPP is an AD neuropathy usually caused by a deletion of the same 1.4 Mb portion of chromosome 17 that is duplicated in CMT1A.17 Nonsense or frameshift mutations that truncate PMP22 are rare causes of HNPP. Patients typically present with transient, recurrent episodes of focal weakness or sensory loss in the distribution of individual nerves or plexus.18 Nerve conduction studies often show focal areas of slowing around sites subject to compression.19 Screening patients with isolated pressure palsies such as carpal tunnel syndromes for HNPP is not warranted.

X linked CMT1

This is the second commonest form of CMT and is caused by mutations in the gap junction protein beta 1 (GJB1) gene encoding connexin 32 (Cx32).20 Males are usually more severely affected than females. Cx32 is expressed in myelinating Schwann cells, not neurons (see Kleopa and Scherer21). However, nerve conductions are only mildly slowed in both men and women with CMT1X with values often in the intermediate range (25–40 m/s).22 Occasionally CMT1X patients have asymmetric MCV reminiscent of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy.23 24 Although oligodendrocytes also express Cx32, the CNS is most often only occasionally involved in CMT1X (extensor plantars, mild deafness, abnormal brainstem evoked potentials).25 However, occasionally transient severe CNS involvement characterised by ataxia and dysarthria has been described.26 27 There are over 500 causative GJB1 mutations (http://www.molgen.ua.ac.be/CMTMutations/default.cfm). Unlike distinct PMP22 and MPZ mutations, virtually all GJB1 mutations have similar age related phenotypes that resemble those in which the gene is entirely deleted.28

CMT2; autosomal dominant axonal neuropathies

CMT2 can be difficult to distinguish from an idiopathic axonal neuropathy when there is no family history. Eight causative genes have been identified (table 1) accounting for about 25% of all CMT2 cases. CMT2 can be subdivided into three distinct phenotypes (fig 2). In the first and most common phenotype, patients present with the “classical CMT” phenotype although much later ages of onset may sometimes be seen than with CMT1. Such patients are indistinguishable from CMT1 without neurophysiology. Nerve biopsies are rarely helpful diagnostically but if done show an axonal neuropathy without any specific diagnostic features.

Mutations in mitofusin 2 (MFN2) cause CMT2A,29 which represents about 20% of all CMT2 cases. CMT2A patients generally have a severe phenotype that may severely impair them in childhood. Twenty per cent of MFN2 mutations are de novo. Occasional CMT2A patients also have optic atrophy (HMSN VI in previous classifications30), brisk reflexes and minor white matter changes on brain MRI.31 Small heat shock protein genes HSF27 (HSPB1) and HSF22 (HSPB8) are rare causes of CMT2 but usually have minimal sensory involvement (these genes also cause a purely motor phenotype, dHMN type II (reviewed in Irobi and colleagues32)). A homozygous mutation in HSF27 has also recently been described to cause AR...
CMT. Mutations in MPZ and NEFL can also cause CMT2 phenotypes.

Profound sensory impairment, often including “ulceromutilations” characterises the second CMT2 phenotype. The causative gene is RAB7 and patients are classified as having CMT2B. CMT2B patients are difficult to distinguish from those with HSAN1, caused by mutations in the SPTLC1 gene. If patients present with prominent sensory features, both the RAB7 and SPTLC1 genes should be initially considered.

The third phenotype for CMT2 is exemplified in patients with CMT2D. Such patients present with atrophy and weakness of the small muscles of the hand (this can be unilateral and misdiagnosed as thoracic outlet syndrome) and much later involvement of the distal lower limb muscles. CMT2D is caused by mutations in GARS. Some patients have no sensory involvement and have been classified as dHMN type V, an allelic condition. The dHMN V/CMT2D phenotype has subsequently been shown to be more commonly due to mutations in the BSL2 gene which usually causes Silver syndrome (spastic legs and distal amyotrophy of the upper limbs) but can present (55% of cases) with just amyotrophy of the upper limbs.

CMT4: autosomal recessive CMT

Thirteen genes have been identified that cause autosomal recessive CMT4 (including three genes—PMP22, MPZ and EGR2—that more commonly cause CMT1) (table 1). Demyelinating forms of CMT4 are more frequent. No one algorithm is suitable for the evaluation of CMT4 but there are simple clinical rules that can be used to aid diagnosis. Usually CMT4 cases have early infantile onset (DSN or CHN) and are severe. Weakness often progresses to involve proximal muscles and results in early loss of ambulation. Recent comprehensive reviews of demyelinating and axonal CMT4 are available. Nerve biopsies can be useful in certain cases because specific features make a particular genetic diagnosis more likely (fig 3). Particular points to consider are:

- AR neuropathies can be difficult to identify as few cases have been identified, polymorphisms are frequent and compound heterozygous mutations can be disease causing. Often multiple family members must be screened to ensure mutations are disease causing.

- Identifying demyelination by MCV can be difficult in CMT4 because motor and sensory amplitudes are often unobtainable at routine recording sites in these severely affected patients. Conduction studies of nerves innervating proximal muscles may be necessary to identify slow MCV.

- Nerve biopsies showing focally folded myelin are characteristic of CMT4B1 (MTMR2 mutations) and CMT4B2 (MTMR13 mutations) but can also be seen with MPZ mutations and in CMT4F secondary to periaxin mutations.

- Early and scoliosis may be seen with CMT4C due to mutations in the KIAA1985 gene. Several patients have had characteristic nerve biopsy features, including basal membrane onion bulbs and multiple cytoplasmic processes of the Schwann cells ensheathing unmyelinated axons (fig 3).

- Two forms of AR CMT are largely confined to patients of Balkan gypsy origin. CMT4D secondary to NDRG1 mutations is characterised by a demyelinating neuropathy with a high prevalence of deafness. Tongue atrophy has also been described. CCFDN (congenital cataract, facial dysmorphism, and neuropathy syndrome) secondary to CTDP1 mutations is also found in gypsies.

- Predominant sensory involvement and variable phenotypes are characteristic of CMT4F (periaxin mutations).

- Only two known causative genes have been identified for autosomal recessive axonal CMT4, (LMNA and CDAP1 (table 1)). Most patients with mutations in lamin A/C (LMNA) present in the second decade with a severe CMT phenotype, including proximal muscle involvement, although some have a milder phenotype. Lamin A/C mutations have been associated with a wide spectrum of other phenotypes, including Emery–Dreifuss muscular dystrophy, cardiomyopathy and Dunnigian-type familial partial lipodystrophy.

**Intermediate CMT**

Certain forms of CMT characteristically present with MCVs in the intermediate range (25–45 m/s). These include dominant intermediate (DI)-CMTB caused by DNM1 mutations, DI-CMTC caused by YARS mutations and DI-CMTA in which only linkage has been identified, at 10q24.1–25.1. In addition, patients with CMT1X, CMT2E, late onset CMT1B and patients with CMT4A often present with intermediate MCV.

**Hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathy (HSAN)**

The hereditary sensory and autonomic neuropathies are rare but many of the genes have been identified (table 2). Autonomic abnormalities are often minimal and motor involvement can be present. The sensory loss can lead to severe complications, including recurrent injuries, ulcerations, osteomyelitis and amputations. The commonest AD form is HSAN1 (or HSN1) caused by SPTLC1 mutations. Patients usually present in the second decade with distal lower limb sensory loss and many have neuropathic pain. Motor involvement can be significant especially later in the disease course (fig 4). MCVs can be in the demyelinating range with males being more severely affected than females. This disease is very difficult to differentiate from CMT2B secondary to RAB7 mutations although the lancinating pain in patients with SPTLC1 mutations can be a useful guide to this diagnosis.

HSAN II is an early onset autosomal recessive severe sensory neuropathy with prominent sensory complications due to mutations in the HSN2 gene.

HSAN 111 (Riley–Day syndrome) is an autosomal recessive neuropathy seen in Askenazi Jews and characterised by mainly
autonomic involvement but it also involves the peripheral nervous system, particularly the sensory nerves. The causative gene is the IKBKAP gene.

HSAN IV and V are both AR neuropathies characterised by congenital insensitivity to pain. HSAN IV (also called congenital insensitivity to pain with anhidrosis) presents with a severe sensory neuropathy, anhidrosis and mental retardation and is due to mutations in the NTRK1 gene. HSAN V is similar but without the mental retardation or significant anhidrosis, described with both NTRK1 and also NGFB mutations.

Recently, the identification of homozygous mutations in the SCN9A gene as a rare cause of congenital insensitivity to pain has been of great interest as heterozygous mutations in the same gene cause congenital erythermalgia and paroxysmal extreme pain disorders.

Distal hereditary motor neuropathies (dHMNs)

The dHMNs are a complex group of disorders (table 3) that typically present with length dependent weakness and no sensory loss. DHMN II is the classic form of AD dHMN and is due to mutations in the HSP27 and HSP22 genes, which also cause CMT2F and CMT2L. There are many other forms but the genes are only known for several:

- Mutations in GARS and BSCL2 cause dHMN V (also CMT2D).
- dHMN VI, an unusual severe AR form of dHMN, presents in infancy with respiratory and distal limb involvement (called spinal muscle atrophy with respiratory distress type 1). This is due to mutations in IGHMBP2.
- Mutations in dynactin (DCTN1) cause one form of dHMN type VII, which is characterised by vocal cord paralysis and progressive weakness and atrophy of the face, hands and legs.
- Missense mutations in senataxin (SETX) can cause a form of dHMN with pyramidal features whereas nonsense mutations in the same gene cause autosomal recessive ataxia oculomotor apraxia type 2 (AOA2).

TREATMENT OF GENETIC NEUROPATHIES

There are no specific therapies available for any of the genetic neuropathies discussed in this review. Therapy to date has focused on physical therapies, use of orthotics, orthopaedic interventions (eg, for scoliosis or foot deformity), pain management and providing genetic counselling for diagnostic, predictive, prenatal and more recently pre-implantation testing. Although many patients regularly receive physiotherapy, it is not known what therapies are best suited to this group of patients and studies are ongoing in this area. This review will concentrate on new disease specific therapies being developed by summarising the major emerging pathogenic mechanisms and the therapies that are evolving from these.

Biological insights into demyelination and neuronal degeneration from CMT

The >30 CMT genes and their proteins constitute a human “microarray” of molecules that are necessary for the normal function of myelinated axons in the peripheral nervous system (PNS). In some of the demyelinating neuropathies, the causal proteins were predictable since PMP22, MPZ and periaxin (CMT4F) were known components of the PNS myelin sheath, and EGR2 (CMT1D) was known to be an essential transcription factor for the development of myelinating Schwann cells. Similarly, neurofilament light (CMT2E) was known to be an essential component of axonal neurofilaments. However, in many other forms of CMT the identification of the causal protein has come as a surprise. Cx32 was not known to be a component of myelin sheaths until GJB1 mutations were found to cause CMT1X. GDAP1 had no known function prior to being recognised as the cause of CMT4A. Subsequently, it has been found that GDAP1 is a nuclear encoded protein that participates in mitochondrial fragmentation, or fission, a process not previously recognised as necessary for maintaining axonal integrity. MFRN2 (CMT2A), LITAF/SIMPLE (CMT1C), RAB7 (CMT2B), GARS (CMT2D), DMN2 (DI-CMTB), YARS (DI-CMT), ATMR2 (CMT4B1), ATMR13 (CMT4B2), SETX (ALS4) and RAB7 (CMT2B) encode widely expressed proteins that were not known to have special roles in myelin or axons until mutations in each were found to cause inherited neuropathies. These mutations have illuminated important intracellular pathways leading to demyelination or axonal degeneration, including intracellular protein trafficking, axonal transport, regulation of transcription and mitochondrial fusion/fission, to name a few. Some forms of inherited neuropathies affect primarily motor neurons and their axons. These include proteins involved in DNA/RNA processing (IGHMBP2, SETX), protein synthesis (GARS, YARS, BSCL2), apoptosis (HSP27), stress response (HSP22 and HSP27) or axonal transport (HSP27, DCTN1) (reviewed in Irobi and colleagues). Conversely, mutations in several other genes cause predominantly sensory peripheral neuropathies/neuropathies. SPTLC1, involved in ceramide synthesis, causes HSAN1V; IKBKAP, a component of the human elongator acetylase complex that may play a role in tubulin acetylation and microtubule based protein trafficking, causes HSANIII; NTRK4, the receptor for NGF, causes HSANIV; and NGF, the ligand for TrkA, causes HSANV. Taken together, investigations of disease mechanisms in various genetic forms of inherited neuropathies are providing insights into the pathogenesis not only of CMT but also into neurodegenerative diseases in general. An overview of many of the cellular processes disrupted in CMT is provided in the elegant figure from Nieman et al (fig 5). Manipulation of these processes offers a rational therapeutic approach to many forms of inherited neuropathy.

Gene dosage and regulating myelination: therapy for CMT1A

The most common form of CMT, CMT1A, is caused by overexpression, rather than mutation, of a gene, the result of a
1.4 Mb duplication on chromosome 17 in the region carrying the gene encoding PMP22. In contrast, deletion of the same 1.4 Mb region on chromosome 17 that is duplicated in CMT1A causes HNPP, a distinct disorder characterised by focal episodes of weakness and/or sensory loss. Decreased expression of PMP22 is the cause of HNPP. Thus alterations in PMP22 dosage cause two distinct disorders depending on whether there is too much or too little PMP22 in myelin. The situation is somewhat more complicated because up to 90% of translated PMP22 is targeted for degradation before reaching the myelin sheath. Nevertheless, treatment strategies are currently being devised to regulate PMP22 mRNA levels as a method of treating CMT1A. Approaches such as the use of siRNA or antisense oligonucleotides may permit alteration of PMP22 as these techniques are perfected. However, investigators are also turning towards currently available agents that have demonstrated ability to manipulate PMP22 mRNA levels. One of these compounds is the hormone progesterone.

A progesterone antagonist improves neuropathy in CMT1A rats

Progesterone has been shown to increase expression of PMP22 and MF2 mRNA levels in cultured Schwann cells. Therefore, its ability to modulate Pnp22 levels in a rat model of CMT1A was investigated. The CMT1A rat was generated by specific overexpression of a Pmp22 cDNA. Heterozygous animals develop a progressive, demyelinating neuropathy with clinical, neurophysiological and pathological features that resemble CMT1A. Daily administration of progesterone to these CMT1A rats elevated the steady state levels of Pmp22 and Mpr mRNA in sciatic nerves, as would have been predicted from the tissue culture studies. The result was enhanced Schwann cell pathology and a more severe clinical neuropathy. In contrast, treatment with the selective progesterone receptor antagonist, onapristone, reduced overexpression of Pnp22 mRNA in the animals and improved their CMT phenotype, without obvious side effects. Taken together, these data provided proof of principle that the progesterone receptor of myelin forming Schwann cells is a promising pharmacological target for therapy of CMT1A. Unfortunately, onapristone is toxic in humans so that it will probably not be used in clinical trials. However, current research is underway to develop a less toxic progesterone antagonist that can be used in clinical trials of CMT1A.

Ascorbic acid and CMT1A

Schwann cells cocultured with neurons derived from dorsal root ganglia (DRG) have been used to investigate PNS myelination in a number of classic studies. Schwann cells only form a myelin sheath around axons when serum and ascorbic acid are added to the culture media. Ascorbic acid is critical to this process, presumably by linking hydroxyproline residues in the extracellular matrix. Investigators therefore treated a mouse model of CMT1A with ascorbic acid and demonstrated an improvement in myelination and performance on tasks such as a Rotarod. They also demonstrated a reduction of Pnp22 mRNA levels to levels below that necessary to induce the disease phenotype. As a result, clinical trials using various doses of ascorbic acid to treat CMT1A are underway at several centres throughout the world.

High throughput screens and CMT1A

Both ascorbic acid and progesterone antagonists are currently available compounds chosen by investigators because of their demonstrated ability to alter PMP22 expression. Additional compounds may currently exist that also alter PMP22 expression, perhaps more effectively than ascorbic acid or onapristone. It is currently feasible to rapidly test libraries containing hundreds of thousands of candidate medications for CMT1A using what are termed high throughput screens. Cell lines expressing PMP22 reporter constructs are created and treated with candidate compounds in robotic, computerised systems and compounds found to lower PMP22 expression can then be further tested in rodent CMT1A models. These high throughput screens promise to rapidly generate additional potential therapies for CMT1A patients.

Membrane fusion, fission and protein transport

In addition to MFN2 and GDAP1, several forms of CMT and related disorders appear to be caused by abnormalities in the fusion and fission of cellular membranes. GTPase dynamin 2
(DNM2) mutations cause dominant intermediate CMT type B (DI-CMTB). The role of DNM2 appears to be in aiding the separation of newly formed endosomes from the cell membrane. 80–82 Additionally, the vesicle associated protein B (VAPB) in membrane fusion and has recently been shown to cause amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in several Brazilian families. 83 VAPB contains a v-SNARE domain. SNARE refers to soluble NSF attachment protein receptor. Membrane proteins from vesicles (v-SNARES) and proteins from target membranes (t-SNARES) govern the specificity of vesicle targeting and docking through mutual recognition. However, members of the vesicle associated protein family also associate with microtubules and function in membrane transport (reviewed in Zuchner and Vance). 84

Mutations in the putative protein degradation protein LITAF/SIMPLE cause CMT1C. 85 Although the precise function of SIMPLE is unknown, its murine orthologue interacts with Nedd4, a E5 ubiquitin ligase. Mono-ubiquitination of plasma proteins by Nedd4 family members serve as internalisation signals that are recognised by protein TSG101 that facilitate the sorting of membrane proteins to the lysosome for degradation. 86 Although SIMPLE is expressed in many cell types, when mutated it seems to cause only a demyelinating neuropathy. This suggests that the disease specificity may come from impaired targeted degradation of specific Schwann cell proteins such as PMP22.

**Protein misfolding and endoplasmic reticulum retention**
PMP22 missense mutations Leu16Pro 77 and Leu147Arg 78 cause demyelinating neuropathies in humans and the naturally occurring demyelinating trembler J (Tr J)81 and trembler (Tr) 80 mutations result in the accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins by Nedd4 family members serve as internalisation signals that are recognised by protein TSG101 that facilitate the sorting of membrane proteins to the lysosome for degradation. 86 Although SIMPLE is expressed in many cell types, when mutated it seems to cause only a demyelinating neuropathy. This suggests that the disease specificity may come from impaired targeted degradation of specific Schwann cell proteins such as PMP22.

**Mitochondrial function in CMT**
Mitochondrial abnormalities have been found in a number of neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease and spastic paraplegia (reviewed in Zuchner and Vance). 84 Mitochondrial morphology is determined by a dynamic equilibrium between organelle fusion and fission. MFN2, the cause of CMT2A, 85 is a highly conserved nuclear encoded mitochondrial GTPase that is a component of the outer mitochondrial membrane and an essential regulator of mitochondrial fusion. 86–89 MFN2 and the related MFN1 form both homotypic and heterotypic oligomers that promote mitochondrial fusion. 90 Fusion requires either MFN1 or MFN2, as mitochondria lacking both MFN1 and MFN2 cannot fuse. 91 In addition, it has been suggested that MFN2 mutations result in impaired axonal transport of mitochondria, depriving the distal axon of a necessary source of energy.92–94 Alternatively, it has been proposed that MFN2 mutations impair the ability of mitochondria to dock with kinesin KIF1B, the axonal motor that carry mitochondria along microtubules, or that the MFN2 mutations impair oxidative phosphorylation by mitochondria (reviewed by Niemann and colleagues). 95 Interestingly, mutations in OPA1, which encodes a mitochondrial GTPase localised to the inner mitochondrial membrane, cause dominantly inherited optic atrophy.96–98 OPA1 interacts directly with MFN1 to mediate the fusion of the inner mitochondrial membrane.99–101 Whatever the mechanism(s) by which MFN2 mutations cause neuropathy, they likely involve a toxic gain of function by the mutant protein because the neuropathies are often severe in the heterozygous state (see above), and heterozygous Mfn2 deficient mice have no obvious phenotype. 102–104 Alternatively, mutations in ganglioside induced differentiation protein 1 (GDAP1) cause CMT4A, the most frequent autosomal recessive form of CMT.105–107 GDAP1 is expressed predominantly in neurons, although there is also evidence of Schwann cell expression. Both demyelinating and axonal mutations have been described; whether particular mutations disrupt myelin more than axons is unknown. GDAP1 is localised to the outer mitochondrial membrane.108–110 In contrast with MFN2 mutations, GDAP1 mutations cause mitochondrial fragmentation; this can be counteracted by MFN2. The GDAP1 mutants associated with CMT4A are no longer targeted to mitochondria and cannot induce fragmentation; these data further demonstrate the importance of mitochondrial fusion/fission for the health of PNS axons.

Taken together these CMT models suggest that manipulating mitochondrial function is an area of potential therapeutic research into at least some forms of CMT.

**Schwann cell–axonal interactions**
Schwann cell–axonal interactions are necessary for normal axonal function and are disrupted in demyelinating inherited neuropathies. Consequences of these disruptions include changes in the phosphorylation status and packing density of neurofilaments and abnormal axonal transport. 99 Ultimately, axonal degeneration occurs, which may contribute more to disability than the initial demyelination.110 Therefore, strategies directed towards preventing axonal degeneration even in demyelinating neuropathies have been undertaken. Currently, these strategies are focusing in three areas, one of which is to provide trophic factor support to degenerating axons. Several families of trophic factors have been extensively used in recent years to treat neurodegenerative diseases, including CMT (reviewed in Massicot). Despite successes in animal models, results in human trials with trophic factors have been disappointing to date. Part of the reasons for disappointing results with these proteins may relate to methods of delivery. For example, half-lives of many trophic factors are only for several minutes and they have frequently been administered to patients by subcutaneous injection. Targeting the trophic factors specifically to neurons or Schwann cells may also be necessary to induce beneficial effects. Finally, it may be...
necessary to coordinately deliver multiple trophic factors to induce axonal regeneration in neurodegenerative disorders.

A second strategy is based on the hypothesis that demyelina-
tion places increased energy demands on neurons. Thinning or
absence of myelin reduces its ability to maintain a charge
separation resulting in a leaking of capacitance. Thinning of the
axon, perhaps from decreased neurofilament phosphorylation,
leads to increased electrical resistance along the axon. Taken
together, these factors make it more difficult for depolarisation
to occur at nodes of Ranvier. This "impedance mismatch" can
even lead to conduction block at individual nodes of Ranvier.102
It also places increased energy demands on the neuron to
propagate action potentials by salutatory conduction. Voltage
gated potassium channels (Kv1.1 and Kv1.2) are exposed on the
axolemma as a consequence of paranodal retraction, a common
early feature of demyelination. As a result, potassium ions can
leak down their concentration gradient, also making it more
difficult for depolarisation to occur at the node of Ranvier.102
This has led investigators to consider the use of potassium
channel blockers to treat demyelinating neuropathies, including
CMT1. Preliminary studies with S,4 dianisopirdine did not
demonstrate significant improvement in a population of CMT
patients, most of whom had CMT1.103 However, more specific
potassium channel blockers are becoming available, including
agents that are capable of blocking the channels from inside the
axolemma.

A third strategy to improve Schwan cell–axon interactions is
to identify and manifest specific signalling pathways between
the Schwann cell and the axon. PNS myelin thickness is
regulated by neuregulin 1 type III (Nrg1*), signalling from
axons.104 Nrg1, like other members of the EGF superfamily,105
binds to members of the ErbB receptor tyrosine kinase family.
ErbB2 and ErbB3 are neuregulin receptors expressed in Schwann
cells. Ligand binding to the receptors results in their dimerisa-
tion and activation of signal transduction pathways, including
PI3-K and rasl/MAP kinase (reviewed in Massicotte101). While
Nrg1 mutations have not been shown to cause CMT, manipulations
of this pathway could theoretically be used to manipulate myelin thickness in the future as a treatment
modality, particularly since, as the authors point out, the Nrg1
C terminal domain can be cleaved to become a signalling
molecule itself.104

Potential additional sites for other specific signalling interac-
tions between Schwann cells and axons include the adaxonal
internode, the paranodal region of the myelinating Schwann cell
and their underlying underlying axolemma. The axolemma is
divided into a series of polarised domains in which specific
molecules are expressed in specific areas, such as the node of
Ranvier, paranode, juxtaparanode and internode.106 A similar
organisation occurs in regions of adaxonal myelin that appose
these domains. Further defining molecular pathways through
which the adaxonal myelin and underlying axolemma interact
may provide therapeutic targets to prevent or minimise axonal
degeneration in demyelinating neuropathies. Nectin-like cell
adhesion molecules Necl4 expressed by Schwann cells and Necl1
expressed by neurons bind to each other along the myelin
internode and appear necessary for myelination.107 108 Whether
these or other molecules involved in neural–glial interactions
can be manipulated to prevent axonal degeneration is being
actively investigated.

Gene therapy
Gene therapy can be defined as a strategy to transfer biologically
relevant genetic material (usually genes or proteins) into
affected cells in the body to treat disease. Approaches in gene
therapy have focused in two areas. The first is to identify
molecular abnormalities causing disease and to develop appro-
priate therapeutic molecules to repair the abnormalities. The
second is to design delivery systems, or vectors, to target
therapeutic molecules to the diseased neurons or Schwann cells
(table 4). This latter area of research has proven at least as
challenging as determining the molecular basis for the various
forms of CMT.

Gene therapy delivery systems
Viral vectors
Most gene therapy delivery systems use parts of viruses that
have been modified so they cannot cause disease but will still
carry the therapeutic gene to the cells that the virus usually
infects.109 Unfortunately, viral vectors have often caused
immunological reactions in animal disease models and even in
patients. Overcoming these immune reactions is a major
challenge that currently limits their more widespread. For
example, one young man died several years ago because of an
immunological reaction to an adenoviral vector used to treat his
liver disease.

Plasmid DNA
A non-viral approach to gene therapy involves directly introduc-
cing DNA itself, without a vector, directly into tissue. The DNA
is introduced in the form of plasmids, which is how DNA is
stored in bacteria. Direct introduction of plasmid DNA into an
animal causes minimal immunological reactions. Challenges for
the use of plasmid DNA have been poor delivery efficiency and
the fact that the proteins made from the plasmids have only
been made in target organs for a short time.

Stem cells
The use of embryonic or other types of stem cells to treat
neurodegenerative diseases is generating great excitement
among families with CMT as well as among researchers.
However, there are formidable challenges to the use of stem
cells in the inherited neuropathies. It will be a difficult challenge
for stem cells to differentiate into neurons and then generate
axons that need to travel down limbs more than a metre in
length to reach their appropriate neuromuscular junction or
sensory target. Similarly, it will be a challenge for stem cells to
differentiate into Schwann cells that contact and ensheath all
demyelinated axons in patients with demyelinating CMT.
However, another potential use of stem cells may be to provide
trophic support for inherited neuropathies. Stem cells could be
engineered to secrete trophic factors or molecules discussed
above following the introduction of the stem cells into patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Cellular target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNA viral vectors</td>
<td>Retroviral vectors</td>
<td>Dividing cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lentiviral vectors</td>
<td>Non-dividing cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA viral vectors</td>
<td>Herpes simplex</td>
<td>Neurons (sensory)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenoviral</td>
<td>Non-dividing cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adeno associated viral</td>
<td>Non-dividing cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-viral</td>
<td>Naked DNA</td>
<td>Non-dividing cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stem Cells</td>
<td>Cellular replacement or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trophic support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

The diagnosis of the genetic neuropathies has been revolutionized by the major advances in identifying the causative genes. Despite a genetic diagnosis being possible in many patients, the accurate phenotyping of patients remains crucial in the diagnosis and in the development of gene specific outcome measures for future therapies. The emerging knowledge of the pathogenetic mechanisms underlying these neuropathies has led not only to the first human trials being undertaken and to the investigation of many therapies at the preclinical stage but also has advanced our knowledge of the development and maintenance of the peripheral nervous system and given us insights to neurodegeneration more generally. The next decade should see the emergence of therapies for some of these neuropathies.
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